

Université **m** de Montréal



# Technology-assisted workflow systems for drug compounding: a literature review

Elisabeth Farcy<sup>1</sup>, Denis Lebel<sup>1</sup>, Jean-François Bussières<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Unité de recherche en pratique pharmaceutique, CHU Sainte Justine, Montréal, Québec, Canada

<sup>2</sup> Faculté de pharmacie, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Québec, Canada

## Background

- The understanding of the workflow for drug compounding (i.e. sterile and non-sterile) is essential for the safe delivery of care.
- The normative framework applicable to drug compounding is increasingly demanding.

# Objectives

Describe the literature surrounding technologies assisted workflow (TAWF) for drug compounding.

# Methods

#### Literature review

- Three databases:
- PubMed,Embase,
- . Google Scholar
- Search terms: drug
   compounding, drug delivery
   system, workflow, pharmacy
- . English and French articles
- . Published from 1-1-2015 to 31-12-2020

#### Analysis

- . 20 variables extracted
- Five outcomes evaluated:
- error detection
- compounding workload
- validation time
- . costs
- . pharmacy staff satisfaction
- No statistic analysis performed

### Results

#### Table 1: Characteristics of the studies

First author, Country, Year	Study design	Population		Manufacturer and Product	Technologies in addition to preparation sofware and pictures/ videos*	Preparations analysed (n)	Impact on outcome					Conflict
							Error detection	Workload	Time	Costs	Perception and satisfaction	interest **
Deng, USA, 2016	Post Single center	Pediatric	Parenteral	Baxter, Dose Edge <sup>TM</sup>	Barcodes	421,730	Not interpretable	NA	NA	NA	NA	No
Reece, USA, 2016	Pre-post Single center	Adult	Parenteral	BD, Cato®	Gravimetry Barcodes	Pre: 51,037 Post: 15,843	<b>↑</b>	<b>\</b>	<b>\</b>	NA	NA	Yes
Terkola, Europe, 2016	Descriptive Multicenter	Adult	Parenteral	BD, Cato®	Gravimetry Barcodes	759,060	Not interpretable	NA	NA	NA	NA	No
Benizri, France, 2016	Post Single center	Adult	Parenteral	Eurekam, Drugcam®	-	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	No
Davis, USA, 2017	Pre-post Single center	Pediatric	Parenteral Oral	In-house	Barcodes	Pre: 680,000 Post: 826,220	<b>\</b>	<b>↑</b>	NA	<b>↑</b>	NA	No
Bhakta, USA, 2018	Pre-post Single center	Adult Pediatric	Parenteral	OmniceII <sup>TM</sup> , IV station onco <sup>TM</sup>	Gravimetry Robot Barcodes	Pre: 509 Post: 944	NA	<b>\</b>	NA	<b>\</b>	NA	No
Roberts, USA, 2018	Pre-post Single center	Adult	Parenteral	BD, Pyxis IV prep <sup>TM</sup>	Gravimetry Barcodes	Pre: 643 Post: 748	NA	<b>\</b>	<b>\</b>	NA	Faster and more accurate	Yes
Lin, USA, 2018	Pre-post Single center	Adult	Parenteral	Baxter, Dose Edge <sup>TM</sup>	Barcodes	Pre: 110,963 Post: 101,765	Not interpretable	NA	NA	<b>\</b>	NA	Yes
Bledsoe, USA, 2018	Descriptive Single center	Pediatric	Oral	In-house	Barcodes	178,344	<b>↑</b>	NA	NA	NA	NA	No
Wright, USA, 2019	Pre-post Single center	Adult	Parenteral	Baxter, Dose Edge <sup>TM</sup>	Barcodes	Pre: 1,530 Post: 85,869	Not interpretable	NA	NA	NA	NA	No
Marzal Alfaro, Spain, 2019	Post Single center	Adult Pediatric	Parenteral	Grifols, Phocus Rx®	Barcodes	Post: 9,872	NA	NA	NA	<b>\</b>	NA	Yes
Achey, USA, 2019	Pre-post Single center	Pediatric	Parenteral	Grifols, MedKeeper, Pharmacykeeper <sup>™</sup>	Barcodes	Pre: 680,000 Post: 826,220	Not interpretable	<b>↑</b>	NA	<b>\</b>	NA	No
Eckel, USA, 2019	Pre-post Multicenter	Adult	Parenteral	Baxter, Dose Edge <sup>TM</sup>	Barcodes	Pre: 244,273 Post: 96,865	<b>↑</b>	<b>\</b>	<b>\</b>	<b>↓</b>	NA	Yes
Bucci, USA, 2019	Pre-post Single center	Adult	Parenteral	BD, Pyxis IV prep <sup>TM</sup>	Gravimetry Barcodes	Pre: 116,686 Post: 5,195	<b>↑</b>	<b>↑</b>	<b>\</b>	NA	Safer and more accurate	Yes
Pang, USA, 2020	Comparative Single center	Adult	Parenteral	Loccioni, Apoteca chemo® and ApoteCAps®	Gravimetry Robot Barcodes	Pre: 42,129 Post: 18,136	Not interpretable	NA	NA	NA	NA	No
Marzal-Alfaro, Spain, 2020	Pre-post Single center	Adult Pediatric	Parenteral	Grifols, Phocus Rx®	Barcodes	Pre: 51,589 Post: 54,430	<b>\</b>	NA	NA	<b>\</b>	Safer but slower	Yes

**Legend:** USA = United States of America;  $\uparrow$  = increase;  $\downarrow$  = decrease.

- \*All 16 studies used technologies with preparation assistance softwares and pictures or videos
- \*\*At least one author received fees or the study was funded by the manufacturer of the TAWF

- 16 studies
- 8 different TAWF:
  - 6 commercial,
  - 2 in-house.
- Majority in the United States (n=12).
- All TAWF included preparation assistance software and photos/ videos
- Most used barcode readers (n=15) and few used gravimetry (n=6) and robots (n=2).
- Impact on outcomes: errors (n=13), compounding workload (n=7), validation (n=4), costs (n=7) and satisfaction (n=3).

### Conclusion

- Several studies suggested that TAWF are associated with an increased detection of compounding errors and a perception of the safety of the drug compounding circuit.
- However, it is difficult to conclude on the impact of TAWF on workload and costs.
- More work is needed to assess the benefit-cost ratio of these systems.
- Interpretation of the results remains difficult considering sample size, incomplete method description, conflicts of interest and other biais.

Contact: jean-francois.bussieres.hsj@ssss.gouv.qc.ca
Conflict of interest: None - Funding: None

**Poster presented** at the virtual Canadian Society of Hospital Pharmacists conference, March 20-27, 2021.